1

```
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA, OCTOBER 27, 1999 - 9:30 A.M.
                            * * * * *
 2
 3
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Good morning, everyone,
   and welcome back or welcome to, as the case may be, to our
   second day of the October 26th-27th California Integrated
   Waste Management Board meeting.
7
                  Madam Secretary, would you mind calling the
   roll. Madam Secretary, please call the role.
 9
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Board Members Jones.
10
                  BOARD MEMBER JONES: Here.
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Moulton-Patterson.
11
12
                  BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Here.
13
                 BOARD SECRETARY: Pennington.
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Here.
14
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Roberti.
15
                  BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Here.
16
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Chairman Eaton.
17
18
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Here.
                  Well, as we begin our second day, we have
19
   one remaining agenda item left, Item Number 41, which is
21
   the contract concepts, but before we begin that, I'd like
22 to know if there's any ex parte communications.
23
                  Mr. Pennington, I will start with you.
24
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I
25 have none, except I do have this one letter from -- it's a
```

```
letter actually to the Commissioner, David Roberti, from
    Shirley Dean, Mayor of Berkeley, on Berkeley's proposal.
 2
 3
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Jones.
 4
                  BOARD MEMBER JONES: I got the same letter
 5
    and also last night talked with Al Rizzoli from San Luis
    Obispo Waste. He hosted an incredible event last night
 7
    that a lot of us went to. We had dinner at the bottom of
    a new landfill cell that had just been prepared, with the
   tent, and when they stuck the sirloins on and they were
    that thick, he said, "That's how we cook them in San Luis
    Obispo." So him and some of his staff, and it was on
11
   their landfill.
12
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Ms. Moulton-Patterson.
13
14
                  BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: I have
   written ex partes from Joey Tony and Presley Carbajal on
   the Lionudakis site; Yvonne Hunter, League of California
16
    Cities on AB 939 background; Ricardo Serrano on the B and
18
    J Landfill; Mark Harmon, City of Claremont; Gilberto Ruiz,
    SCAG, just an invitation to speak; Tom Martin, Cold Canyon
   Landfill on open house; Kelley Shewmaker on the Lionudakis
21
    site -- some of these could have already been reported
    yesterday -- Mark Arsenault on -- these are ones that I
23 sent thank yous for taking me on the tour of Newby Island;
24 Gary Johnson, Eagle Mountain. And I believe that's it.
```

Senator Roberti, was this already -- just

25

- 1 to be on the safe side, a letter to Senator Roberti and
- 2 myself, Z-Best Composting Facility from Antonio Pacheco;
- 3 also from Jeff Parker, the City of Walnut on their program
- 4 implementation; also City Councilman Alex Padilla from the
- 5 City of Los Angeles on sustainable green building
- 6 practices; one from Chuck White, Waste Management, on
- 7 support for Concept Number 57, landfill bioreactor; and
- 8 lastly, from Senator Richard Alarcon on Concept Number 81,
- 9 Lakeview Terrace Library.
- 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EATON: Thank you. And you should
- 12 feel very fortunate that even though you were unable to be
- 13 here yesterday, Mr. Jones had provided us with numerous
- 14 landfill bioreactor ex parte communications which we read
- 15 into the record, and took up some time. I think you were
- 16 probably fortunate he covered you.
- 17 Senator Roberti.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I didn't get any of
- 19 those. Those all went to you.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Mr. Chairman, I have
- 21 a communication from Councilman Alex Padilla, Los Angeles
- 22 City Council, regarding Lakeview Terrace project; from
- 23 Ms. Shirley Dean, the Mayor of the City of Berkeley,
- 24 regarding Berkeley's ecoindustrial park; and I spoke with
- 25 Senator Jack O'Connell, Mr. Dan Lentz, an attorney in San

- 1 Luis Obispo, and Mr. Tom Jones, an aid to Senator
- 2 O'Connell, regarding diversion rates, landfill operations
- 3 and recovery -- or rather in the disposal of medical
- 4 waste.
- 5 CHAIRMAN EATON: Thank you. And I just
- 6 also would like to thank the Rizzoli family for their
- 7 hospitality, and not only the Board Members and staff, but
- 8 to others there last evening. Truly enjoyable, and
- 9 hopefully we'll have an opportunity to come back.
- 10 I have a few as a result of being at that
- 11 function. As you well know, you can't go to a function
- 12 without seeing anyone, but ex partes would be Mary B.
- 13 Whittlesee, Solid Waste Coordinator for the County of San
- 14 Luis Obispo regarding 939 general waste issues; Gary
- 15 Gawin, AB 2136 clean up; Dr. Douglas Williams from Cal
- 16 Poly State University here in San Luis Obispo on general
- 17 waste issues; and Evan Edgar of Edgar and Associates, just
- 18 sort of a meet-and-greet.
- Any reports, Mr. Pennington, in the brief
- 20 time that you were away from the dais yesterday that you
- 21 could share with us today?
- BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: No, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Jones.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: No.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EATON: Ms. Moulton-Patterson.

```
1
                  BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: No.
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: All right. We do have one
 2
   remaining report and that's from our Executive Director
   who yesterday said he would give us an update on a couple
   of issues.
 6
                  Mr. Chandler.
 7
                  MR. CHANDLER: Good morning, Members. As
 8 you recall yesterday, we did pull Items Number 39 and 42
 9 with the indication I would cover those in my opening
10 remarks. I want to ask Chief Counsel Tobias to address
   Item 39, which I believe is going to be brought forward at
11
   a later date, and I will cover Item 42 briefly.
13
                  So, Catherine.
14
                  MS. TOBIAS: I just wanted to mention on
15 Item 39, that that came up as a result of Senator
16 Roberti's concerns at the Quincy meeting. And what we'll
   be bringing forward is an item that does address that
   issue, but it's also going to address the issue of when
18
   facilities violate the terms and conditions of their
20 permits.
21
                  What happens often is that a facility will
22 operate under given terms and conditions, then they'll
23 start to accept more tonnage or change their operations
24 from chipping and grinding to more of a composting type of
```

25 operation, and then staff advise them to come in and

- 1 either change their permit or upgrade their permit to the
- 2 next level.
- 3 It brings into question this issue between
- 4 permitting and enforcement, which is what I think we were
- 5 going to review with the long-term violation policy. So
- 6 we're going to bring both of those back in so we can give
- 7 you a better understanding of where the line is between
- B permitting and enforcement and get your ideas on what you
- 9 would like to see done about that long-term violation
- 10 policy, whether you want to keep that or change it, but
- 11 we're expanding the item a little bit.
- 12 We may also discuss, as we did with Mark De
- 13 Bie yesterday, the gas violation issues that were brought
- 14 up at the Board level. Any comments on that? All right.
- 15 I think we're looking at January.
- MR. CHANDLER: Finally, the last item I
- 17 wish to speak to is reflected in Item Number 42, report on
- 18 the Board's procurement of the recycled-content carpet for
- 19 the new Cal EPA building, but cover the facts as they've
- 20 been unfolding really for the last two years where this
- 21 organization worked to encourage, cajole, and even demand
- 22 that Cal EPA consider in their new building project that
- 23 they design it in such a way that would involve the
- 24 construction of sustainable, durable green building
- 25 concepts.

1

```
Unfortunately, requests were ignored or
    rebuffed, citing these products were too costly and that
 2
    they had not been considered for the budget when the
 3
    building was originally negotiated. We continued to push,
    however, $150,000 to contract with a green building design
    specialist as well as to provide funding for a contract
 7
    for $50,000 to ensure the demolition of the parking
    structure as usable product as opposed to being sent to
   the landfill.
                   Early this year, an offer was made by the
10
    Board to find additional opportunities for recycled
11
    content funded by the Board. In July, Chairman Eaton, on
   behalf of the Board, sent a letter to Secretary Hickox
    offering to purchase approximately 75,000 square yards of
14
    carpeting available with the best indoor air quality from
    the manufacturer that showed a strong commitment to the
16
    environment and the concepts of sustainability.
17
18
                  Our initial investigation led us to request
    permission to use the California Master Award Schedule,
19
    sometimes referred to as CMAS, to purchase flooring
21
    systems. In the letter to Secretary Hickox, we requested
    his support in helping us secure the necessary approvals
23 from General Services to make this purchase possible. DGS
24 was unwilling, however, to allow the Board to make this
25 direct procurement as opposed to securing the lowest bid,
```

- 1 as well as a backlash from carpet sought, ways to remain
- 2 in control of the purchase.
- 3 Finally in September, Secretary Hickox and
- 4 Chairman Eaton, we finally secured approval to make the
- 5 purchase. It was with the concession, however, that we
- 6 convene a panel of interested parties who would provide
- 7 recommendations as to the manufacturer that would be
- 8 allowed. We would look at the CMAS schedule and provide
- 9 them as well the opportunity to provide product
- 10 information.
- 11 As a result of this process, two clear
- 12 leaders emerged. One was Collins and Aikman and the other
- 13 was Interface, the design team from the building City of
- 14 Sacramento. From our perspective, we requested the City
- 15 to consider procurement from both of the two top
- 16 manufacturers. However, because of the time lost in the
- 17 beginning of this process, the City was concerned that
- 18 splitting the order between two manufacturers would
- 19 lengthen the time line for the project. Therefore, the
- 20 Board agreed to limit the purchase to Collins and Aikman
- 21 and proceed accordingly, I think the most noteworthy
- 22 consent aspect as well as the financial aspect.
- The original budget for the carpet was \$2.5
- 24 million. By using the California Master Award Schedule
- 25 greater than 50-percent recycled-content product, \$300,000

- 1 in our commitment of funding, we have saved Cal EPA over
- 2 \$2 million. It is our hope they will use this savings in
- 3 the building.
- 4 At this time, staff are waiting for the
- 5 final numbers from the interior architect, Eileen Adams,
- 6 commending use of the CMAS process and request that all
- 7 carpet and tile through CMAS, precluding their purchase.
- 8 I would like to conclude by thanking Karin
- 9 Fish for negotiating this work. I also want to thank
- 10 Caren Trgovcich, and particularly her staff, as we
- 11 evaluate the various carpet proposals.
- 12 So with that, I'll entertain any questions.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EATON: Any questions of
- 14 Mr. Chandler?
- 15 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I would like to
- 16 congratulate you and Mr. Chandler on what were long and
- 17 stressful negotiations, but you both need to be commended
- 18 for the efforts you put forward to make this edifice to
- 19 environmental quality at least stand up to what's it's to
- 20 be.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'll second that.
- 22 CHAIRMAN EATON: Thank you. I think it
- 23 also is something we all learned by the process, by the
- 24 fact any time you're trying to do something new,
- 25 Mr. Pennington, when we start getting into bid

- 1 specifications and green building products and what have
- 2 you, we learned a lot from the fact we fell probably more
- 3 often than we got up and dusted ourselves off.
- In the end, Secretary Hickox added his
- 5 weight and Eileen Adams in sync. It makes it easier to
- 6 get through that extra couple of yards to get to the goal
- 7 line. At least when we'll be moving to the new building,
- 8 we'll have carpet that we can say is made out of recycled
- 9 content, and that will be a proud day for all Californians
- 10 trying to do as well. Thank you.
- 11 There's no consent calendar -- that should
- 12 please everyone -- as well as no continued business agenda
- 13 items. So therefore, we go directly to the one remaining
- 14 item and that would be Item 41, which is the contract
- 15 concepts.
- Ms. Fish, I don't know if you're ready.
- 17 Perhaps you want to give an overview of --
- 18 MS. FISH: We're ready or will be there by
- 19 the time I get there.
- 20 Thank you. Karin Fish from the Division of
- 21 Administration and Finance. This has been a pretty long
- 22 process.
- The item before you is the allocation of
- 24 the consultant and professional services relative to the
- 25 '99-2000 fiscal year for the Integrated Waste Management

- 1 account, as well as the Recycled Market Development Zone
- 2 account. We requested concepts in June of 1999, and the
- 3 concepts that have been received since that time, we have
- 4 some 82 concepts. There was \$1.5 million available in
- 5 discretionary funding for this purpose in the Integrated
- 6 Waste Management account and about \$4.9 million available
- 7 in the RMDZ account. The concepts that we received
- 3 totaled \$4.9 million, almost \$5 million, in the Integrated
- 9 Waste Management account leaving requests that exceeded
- 10 the authorized funding by over \$3.5 million, and over \$10
- 11 million worth of requests in the RMDZ account, leaving
- 12 that overallocated \$5.3 million.
- 13 So over the past couple of weeks, the staff
- 14 had put forward the recommendation to try and split some
- 15 of the concepts between maybe this fiscal year as well as
- 16 the next fiscal year, making recommendations on some of
- 17 the concepts that we felt were inappropriate to be funded,
- 18 that we felt should not be funded from the Integrated
- 19 Waste Management account, and that has been passed out to
- 20 you, that executive staff recommendation.
- 21 Now, we could go through each one of the
- 22 concepts. There are staff here to address additional
- 23 questions if you have additional questions relative to the
- 24 concept, selected staff, a few staff. So we can either go
- 25 through the concepts, or we've also received kind of a

- 1 modified proposal from the executive staff proposal that
- 2 staff are busy trying to put up now so we can put that up
- 3 to assist you in your discretion.
- 4 So I guess I'm looking for a little
- 5 direction on how you would like us to proceed to help you
- 6 reach the decision because I know we have a great deal
- 7 more requests than there is funding available for.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EATON: I think a couple things,
- 9 the modified program is something that we'll work off for
- 10 a couple reasons. I think first and foremost, it's
- 11 balanced to begin with. It may not be after we get done
- 12 here, but at least initially it's balanced. Also, I think
- 13 there are some technical aspects incorporated into both.
- 14 For instance, in the Project Recycle arena, which I
- 15 believe is on the second page actually, third page if you
- 16 look at the back, are those mandatory as a result of some
- 17 of the --
- 18 MS. FISH: Some of the Project Recycle
- 19 relative to the finance letter? Yes. I don't know if we
- 20 call them mandatory, but we did indicate in the finance
- 21 letter that those are the activities that needed to be
- 22 conducted, so we would like to proceed in accordance with
- 23 that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EATON: I think also there's a
- 25 number of things, Members, to look at here that is a

- 1 little bit different than in the past, and under Dan
- 2 Pennington's leadership it started to change in the same
- 3 evolution where we're looking at, as Board Members,
- 4 projects or, I think, areas of interest to explore. I
- 5 think as we look at public education this time, I think
- 6 that's been an area that we've talked about that hasn't
- 7 had a lot of attention, Senator Roberti, in the whole area
- 8 of reading, beginning last year with the initial, and this
- 9 year we have an allocation. And also there is a BCP which
- 10 is a Budget Change Proposal, which I -- when everyone is
- 11 talking about BCPs, that's going forward.
- Mr. Pennington, I believe, served notice,
- 13 if I'm not mistaken, during at least one of the Board
- 14 Meetings earlier this year and wanted to try to do
- 15 something with low and moderate income housing related to
- 16 green building. That's part of the concept, if each of
- 17 you desire to speak on that.
- 18 Mr. Jones, two years ago, I believe, came
- 19 up with tire chips for septic systems, and this year he's
- 20 following it up with bioreactors. I tried to do some
- 21 stuff with green building construction and demolition, but
- 22 the one area I would like to have you think about, Karin,
- 23 and go through it briefly, I appreciate looking at the
- 24 balance sheet and showing where we were \$5 million short.
- 25 Now at least the new modified, it's somewhat balanced.

- 1 The public affairs arena, and I know there's a lot of
- 2 discussion with regard to a particular program or a
- 3 particular emphasis, but at least from my standpoint, I'm
- 4 still struggling with what we need to do in that arena.
- 5 As we approach the year 2000, which is some 60 days away,
- 6 others have come up with other types of media campaigns.
- 7 These monies at least carry us through for the first six
- 8 months of next year; is that correct?
- 9 MS. FISH: With the IWMA account, the funds
- 10 would need to be encumbered by June of 2000. With the
- 11 RMDZ, we do have the flexibility.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EATON: What I need your help on,
- 13 at least your thoughts, is do we take some money from some
- 14 of the other areas and put it in a bigger pool there and
- 15 have someone come up with a media plan. There's \$100,000
- 16 in terms of going up and down that the coastal districts
- 17 on radio or television, as some of us in our past
- 18 experience have purchased. Is that better than trying to
- 19 go with a letter program or particular type of media spot,
- 20 should we start a television campaign, all of those things
- 21 because it will be the last push.
- I don't know. I just think that I would
- 23 like a little more meat on the bone. I also would like a
- 24 little more money in there. I think Senator Roberti had
- 25 some money overall on a media campaign, what we want to

- 1 do, and that's the toughest one, and move forward what we
- 2 want to do in the public affairs arena. I think there's a
- 3 lot of talent on the Board and on the staff that have
- 4 media saviness and media experience. We've all purchased
- 5 or been involved with the purchasing of both electronic
- 6 media as well as print media. I think there's some that's
- 7 in here. I may be mistaken.
- 8 There's \$525,000 in the modified, probably
- 9 not enough to carry us through or anything. That is one
- 10 area that we can discuss as we think about what we need to
- 11 do, or maybe we don't, and if we need to bring it back in
- 12 60 days, in January, with the defined media program and
- 13 push.
- 14 Public education program, I know that you,
- 15 Ms. Moulton-Patterson, are very much interested in public
- 16 education, but public education not only as it relates to
- 17 schools. I just -- I'm struggling, so I really need some
- 18 assistance or some discussion as it relates to that and
- 19 I'll leave it there.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Is now the
- 21 time for questions or --
- 22 CHAIRMAN EATON: Sure. Absolutely.
- 23 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Just again
- 24 be patient with me. As you know I'm brand-new, but have
- 25 we worked -- obviously we have to have money to develop

- 1 spots, but have we worked with the cable industry? My
- 2 background is from cable. I spent five years there and I
- 3 know there's public service announcements, and working
- 4 with the cable companies you can get a lot of free spots.
- 5 Have we done anything like that in the past?
- 6 MS. FISH: Let me direct your question to
- 7 John Frith.
- 8 MR. FRITH: Good morning. John Frith of
- 9 the Public Affairs office.
- No, we haven't really had, at least in my
- 11 tenure here, a PR budget in terms of the media. Back in
- 12 the early part of the decade, there was a million-dollar
- 13 contract with BBB Needham which did utilize broadcast
- 14 media to try to get the message out in Bakersfield and
- 15 Sacramento, as I recall. They did produce television
- 16 spots as well as a wide variety of collateral print
- 17 material. That was the last time that the Board really
- 18 engaged in anything of that magnitude.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: And I
- 20 guess I was asking -- more obviously we would have to pay
- 21 to have the spots produced, but working with the cable
- 22 industry, you know, like for Aids walk, anything, anything
- 23 that's a public service announcement, they're free, and I
- 24 would certainly be happy to work with anyone on those
- 25 because I think it's a real good opportunity for us to get

- 1 our message out. I was just wondering if we had done the
- 2 public service announcements that are free in the past.
- 3 MR. FRITH: We have done public service
- 4 announcements. In this year's sponsorships that the Board
- 5 approved about four or five months ago, there was a
- 6 component from the Environmental Defense Fund that does
- 7 include public service announcements, and I believe those
- 8 are actually airing. The problem with PSAs, as you know,
- 9 especially in the main markets, it is extremely difficult
- 10 to get PSAs on the air just because there's so many
- 11 repeating PSAs out there.
- 12 Cable is a different animal. You can get
- 13 your spots on cable. Especially if you're trying to get
- 14 your over-the-air networks, the major stations, FCC no
- 15 longer requires the stations to do the same kinds of
- 16 community service that they did prior to the
- 17 telecommunications reform of the early '90s. It's really
- 18 difficult to get those on the air in a large enough volume
- 19 to really be noticed.
- 20 Another PSA campaign, before I forget,
- 21 involves the Used Oil Program, and those PSAs were
- 22 produced earlier this year with a number of organizations
- 23 in Los Angeles, Ted Danson and some of the media
- 24 celebrities that are committed to the environmental
- 25 protection message, and those are also, I believe, airing

```
1 even as we speak.
```

- 2 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EATON: I think that the issues,
- 4 at least how much should go in the pot, we want to decide
- 5 what that should be or ask staff to come back in a certain
- 6 amount of time and come up with a sort of media plan is
- 7 the bottom line in terms of paid media, availability of
- 8 free media.
- 9 One of the other things I think Arnie
- 10 Sowell of my staff whispered in my ear is if we put X
- 11 amount dollars in a pot, many of you probably heard
- 12 through the rumor mill that because we are a specially
- 13 funded agency, there's a number of our fellow agencies
- 14 that like to look at our treasury from time to time and
- 15 think it's public scrutiny of the legislature and ask for
- 16 the money under Dan's leadership and others, and in my
- 17 short tenure have gone and asked for the money right here.
- 18 They want to do it another way. I guess fair is fair as
- 19 I've learned. Perhaps maybe we ought to do the same thing
- 20 because with the passage of SB 382, the bottom is \$10
- 21 million. I cannot believe that we are in sync with
- 22 recycling bottles and cans. That would be another thing
- 23 to look at. And that's a good tidy sum that's in that,
- 24 that we pay for media campaign in both the urban as well
- 25 as the rural areas. I'm not sure we could buy media in

- 1 Los Angeles or others with that fund, but we might think
- 2 about those things as we go through.
- 3 Dan.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I think those are
- 5 all excellent ideas and I might suggest that we can't do
- 6 it right now, but I think we ought to look at forming the
- 7 foundation that we could put money into, and perhaps
- 8 Department of Conservation and some of the industries who
- 9 are involved in this effort so that we can increase the
- 10 pot substantially or -- I know that CAW has a foundation
- 11 and perhaps we could work with that to build that, but it
- 12 might be a way for us to get more money into the pot and
- 13 give some incentives for other people to put some money
- 14 into the pot.
- So I mean I guess the idea of setting aside
- 16 some money so that we would have some money to do some
- 17 sponsorships and some money to do some ads, but also take
- 18 time to look into maybe doing a foundation.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Mr. Chairman.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Jones.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER JONES: That's a unique idea,
- 22 this 501C foundation. It probably makes a lot of sense to
- 23 try to pool some of that DOC money. It also makes sense
- 24 to have a media campaign that is -- a strategy, I should
- 25 say -- of how are we going to effectively get our message

- 1 as we are doing the final strokes. We have some things
- 2 with SB 1066, and with the extensions that are coming
- 3 along, it gives us the opportunity to talk about the year
- 4 2000 but also in keeping this thing going. I think we
- 5 focus so much on 2000 -- this law doesn't go away.
- I would love to see Board Member Patterson
- 7 working with staff and whoever else to come up with some
- 8 kind of a strategy through working group and then come
- 9 back and talk to us about what we may be able to do as far
- 10 as promoting our message, as well as other messages, not
- 11 in a shotgun effect, but in a targeted plan.
- 12 It makes sense to me, and I think at the
- 13 same time working with whoever and come up and see if we
- 14 can do this 501C foundation and see if there is some
- 15 flexibility with the DOC to combine programs.
- 16 It's ridiculous that you drive down the
- 17 street and you see buses that have curbside recycling, put
- 18 your bottles and cans in here, and they're procluded from
- 19 talking about other materials that are at the curb because
- 20 they're not CRV. So it would seem to me that if we could
- 21 negotiate something with them and pool our money, that
- 22 might give them the opportunity to talk about more than
- 23 just bottles and cans. They may be procluded from talking
- 24 about more than bottles and cans. And I don't know that
- 25 to be a fact. I'm surmising, but we may be able to do

- 1 some combinations there and it makes sense. I think it's
- 2 worth a try. We've got time.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EATON: I believe one of the more
- 4 successful campaigns was, and I think this was the
- 5 Department of Conservation, was Danny De Vito and Rhea
- 6 Pearlman a couple of years ago. I think there was a
- 7 dueling duet about recycling. I think those were DOC
- 8 commercials. I may be mistaken. I don't think we're
- 9 going to be able to afford such as Department of Health
- 10 Services in their anti-smoking campaign budget. I don't
- 11 think we're going to get anywhere near that, although if
- 12 we could find a way. I guess with smoke, perhaps tire
- 13 fire smoke. I'm not sure. It's a stretch or something
- 14 like that, but -- I didn't mean to divert the Board's
- 15 attention away from other questions that you may have, but
- 16 I did want to get that out because I was just thinking
- 17 about it.
- 18 I think we should be looking forward as
- 19 well as what's right before us, and we have now, I think,
- 20 a good group of individuals here who not only understand
- 21 the problematic side of it, but also what it takes to sell
- 22 our programs in our task, hopefully the Governor's task as
- 23 well, because I know that as we get more and more
- 24 inquiries, we're having to be more and more sensitive as
- 25 to the greater impact from his leadership as well, and

```
something for them to review and to look at, and that's
 2
    the important thing.
 4
                   So with enough pontification and stuff like
 5
    that, but are there comments on any of the projects here
    or issues raised within some of the categories? If we
 7
    were to adopt a similar or the modified schedule, I
    believe that would leave us with a balance of $39,734
   in --
 9
10
                   BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: If we adopted what?
                   CHAIRMAN EATON: If we moved through and
11
    just sort of stay within the parameters. In other words,
    we may move dollars around in each of the programs, but if
14
    that were the spending cap that we spent, it would come
    out to, I believe, that in the RMDZ fund we would be
    spending somewhere around $4,926,000 out of $4,940,000.
16
17
                   So that would leave a $14,000 little sort
    of balance, and then the IWMA would have a balance of
18
    $39,000. Whereas you remember when we first were
```

presented the concepts generally a couple of weeks ago, we

had \$10 million in requests, \$4.9 million available, and a

22 deficit of \$5.6 million. So I'm using that in terms of

23 the category. We may want to take and put things that

24 were deleted or dropped or what have you. At least that

25 gives us a starting point from which to balance our books

21

1 we'll see that and we should be able to present him with

- 1 and also look at some of the direction that we as a Board
- 2 want to go.
- 3 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Does staff have
- 4 before us a staff agreed-upon suggested list?
- 5 CHAIRMAN EATON: I think that I don't want
- 6 to --
- 7 MS. FISH: If you look up on the screen,
- 8 what we've done is put in the alternate proposal and
- 9 they're kind of grouped by category. We can't display the
- 10 entire list at one time, but you can see what's being
- 11 proposed, and maybe if we took it category by category,
- 12 you could see what's being proposed for organics and then
- 13 move on accordingly, if that would help.
- 14 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN EATON: And that's the sheet
- 16 that's in front of us. Generally, I think we can look at
- 17 it -- if individual Members that may have either looked at
- 18 some of the ideas that we've had to do.
- 19 MS. FISH: So what is in the first column
- 20 is what was originally requested relative to the IWMA and
- 21 the RMDZ; and then in the remaining two columns, you see
- 22 what is now being proposed. In the column to the far
- 23 right, you see some alternate funds being proposed that
- 24 could augment the original concept or at least fully fund
- 25 a concept at near or close to its original amount.

```
BOARD MEMBER JONES: Mr. Chairman.
 1
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: So in other
 2
   words, under organics, $2,000; Grasscycling Outreach --
    I'm sorry -- down to park model program, you have $290,000
 5
    coming out of the RMDZ -- $290,000 is requested and you've
    got $255,000 coming out of the RMDZ and $35,000 from
 7
    Project Recycle to get to the $292,000; is that correct?
 8
                   MR. FIRTH: Yes. That's the proposal.
 9
                   MS. FISH: In that particular concept,
    there was $35,000 that was allocated to do an interagency
10
    agreement with General Services to implement a model
11
    program at Capitol Park, and it was determined that that
12
    would be an appropriate expenditure from Project Recycle
14
   dollars.
15
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'm sorry I
16
   stepped on you.
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: That's all right.
17
18
                  Mr. Jones.
                  BOARD MEMBER JONES: Mr. Chairman, I don't
19
    know what list this is. I don't have a problem with some
20
21
    of this stuff. On the grasscycling, for a little bit of
    history, City of L.A. came to me two and a half years ago,
23 I guess it was, to ask if we would put a program together
24 to help them because they were spending $90 a ton to
```

25 collect it and compost it. We did a regional program with

```
2 electric mulching lawnmowers and we used money from the
   oil fund as a pollution prevention measure to start the
    seed on this thing. We got the Air District involved,
    South Coast Air District was involved, Edison, PG and E,
    the mower manufacturers and that. First year was okay.
 7
    Second year, we funded it out of RMDZ. It was not a
    wonderful program, but they got some benefit out of it.
 9
                   But we also funded a grasscycling program
10
    in Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial County, I think.
    Imperial may not be in there, but I know it was San
11
    Bernardino and Riverside. I think this year if we're
    going to do the third year of this program, we ought to
14
    look at devoting some of those program dollars to northern
    California because they've got grass growing there, too.
                  BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Interestingly
16
17
    enough, now that you raise that, my first month or second
18
    month on the Board, I was in Orange County and spoke to
    their waste management people, and it was interesting.
19
```

1 Orange County, L.A. County, but what we did is we went to

24 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Right.

21

22

23

25 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: -- in Orange County

people don't mow their lawns. They hire gardeners --

They told me that mulching lawnmowers do much better in

northern California than in southern California. They

seem to be a little disappointed with the program because

- 1 and L.A. County, whereas in Sacramento, it's what you do
- 2 on the weekend.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: Right.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: And the figures were
- 5 just enormous, staggeringly different as to the number of
- 6 lawnmowers, mulching lawnmowers that were sold in
- 7 Sacramento as opposed to Orange County. I suspect L.A. is
- 8 about the same. I wouldn't be adverse to sending some of
- 9 the money to northern California --
- 10 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Yeah.
- BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: -- and maybe we
- 12 should look at some other options for southern California.
- 13 Maybe this was an anomaly, but the woman -- I can't
- 14 remember her position -- explained it to me and seemed to
- 15 be making a lot of sense as to what the dominant cultures
- 16 are in various areas.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER JONES: We tried to go after
- 18 the -- we brought in the gardeners' associations and stuff
- 19 into those meetings because we knew that's where the thing
- 20 was. But I think this wasn't the case of the State
- 21 saying, "Here, you need to do this." They came to me
- 22 specifically and said, "We need your help. We need to get
- 23 some money." This was their program that we help fund.
- 24 And like you say, they talk about dichondra and they talk
- 25 about all this other stuff and they don't think they get

```
1 the bang for the buck. So if $300,000 is the right
```

- 2 number, that's fine. If it isn't, if it has to be
- 3 \$200,000, I can live with that.
- I have one other thing I want to mention.
- 5 On the compost outreach and those types of things, I want
- 6 to continue to fund that, but we have a -- we keep funding
- 7 programs to help generate markets. We do it as a pilot
- 8 program to see if we can generate interest, but if we
- 9 never step back from that funding, how do you know if your
- 10 efforts are successful? How do you know if the seed money
- 11 that you've given people to promote an industry and
- 12 promote use is effective if you just continue to
- 13 subsidize? I don't necessarily want to not subsidize this
- 14 compost outreach this year, but I want to plant a seed
- 15 because at some point you have to see if your program is
- 16 effective, and the only way you know if it's effective is
- 17 if people are doing it out without our subsidy.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I
- 19 certainly agree with Mr. Jones. That's one of my concerns
- 20 about our rubber mat program, is that we finance the
- 21 development of the program and finance the company in
- 22 buying the equipment and now we're out there subsidizing
- 23 the purchase of it.
- 24 At sometime or another, they have to become
- 25 sustainable on their own. Incidentally, they are

```
1 sustainable on their own in other states. The
```

- 2 manufacturer sat here and told us one time he gets no
- 3 subsidy on his product in other states, but he uses a
- 4 subsidy in California.
- 5 It doesn't make sense. Mr. Jones is right.
- 6 We do have to withdraw that subsidy at some point to see
- 7 if the product can make it on its own, and I'm sure
- 8 composting can.
- 9 CHAIRMAN EATON: I think --
- 10 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Mr. Chairman, can
- 11 I ask if we can start to move --
- 12 CHAIRMAN EATON: Absolutely.
- BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: -- maybe take the
- 14 organics and move that, if nobody has any problems with
- 15 it, and I would be happy to take the lead and offer a
- 16 motion that we approve --
- 17 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Could I just
- 18 interrupt you for a moment?
- 19 CHAIRMAN EATON: Sure.
- 20 Senator Roberti.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Just a suggestion.
- 22 Maybe it would be easier, just a thought, is if anyone of
- 23 us has a disagreement with something too much or too
- 24 little, sort of get that out in the open first so we can
- 25 sort of see the whole picture a little bit.

1

```
BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I will --
 2
 3
    certainly the only comments I have is I was the one who
    was pushing for the grants for low and moderate income
 5
    housing for sustainable buildings and I see we're in for
    $400,000, which is fine. If when we go through here we
    find another $100,000, that would be great. I'm pleased
 7
    to be able to have that.
 9
                   The one change I would make in it is in the
10
   write-up, the term "home ownership" and I'd like to change
    that to "housing" so that some of the really good
11
    non-profits in the state could use this to do a
12
    multifamily dwelling. So we would have to change from
13
    "home ownership" to just "housing" and also the term "home
14
    ownership" in the next sentence to "individuals."
16
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Pennington, perhaps
17
    what we can do, and what we have done in the past, I take
    it generally you're fine with everything, and if we could
18
19
    find some more money for your program --
20
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Right.
21
                   CHAIRMAN EATON: -- but if you would like
    to provide staff direction, if that item is ultimately
22
    approved, to go in and look at that concept you just put
23
24 on the record, then we can do that as well.
25
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: That's fine.
```

CHAIRMAN EATON: All right.

```
1
                   CHAIRMAN EATON: If that's something you
 2 want to do, we can give that direction, or any of the
    other projects that we as Board Members may have brought.
    If there's a specific kind of component in there that you
 5
    want the staff to explore, then we can make that clear.
    Just to sort of reiterate, these come back to us --
 7
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Sure.
                   CHAIRMAN EATON: -- as the actual contracts
 8
    and they have another opportunity, but if you can provide
 9
    that direction on the front side, that's great as well.
                  BOARD MEMBER JONES: Going down the line.
11
12
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Jones.
13
                  BOARD MEMBER JONES: Okay. I've got a
14
    couple things. On the showcase for recycled content
    building, I don't think I'll have a problem with that with
    one exception. I mean, I made my issues clear at the
16
17
    other meeting, but I think one thing that we have to be
    aware of and we need to condition this thing.
18
                  In the letter they gave me today, they're
19
    talking about structurally rebuilding this building using
20
21
    used material. If their planning department and building
    department has not put in an ordinance to allow structural
23 work to be done with recycled building material, it is not
24 allowed by law, and I don't see any reference to that
25 anywhere. So they may be asking for something that they
```

```
1 can't provide because of their own ordinances, and nobody
```

- 2 has told me if they've looked at that or not, but that's a
- 3 law in the state of California. So if we did it, we
- 4 should condition it.
- 5 The other thing is the way they explain it
- 6 in their letter of using materials and this and that, I
- 7 don't have a problem with that. To move Urban Ore with
- 8 taxpayer dollars bothers me, so I would say condition it
- 9 with using that material. That is not a problem, but if
- 10 it's going to go to move a for-profit business, that
- 11 should not be an allowable expense just because they lost
- 12 the lease.
- 13 The other thing is --
- 14 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: You mean to
- 15 physically move?
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: Yeah. They've lost
- 17 their lease. In the proposal that I got after the Board
- 18 meeting, not even the day of the Board meeting, but I
- 19 guess the next morning we got it. Part of the proposal
- 20 had the profit and loss statement of Urban Ore which as
- 21 soon as I read that, I'm going, "This is a \$550,000
- 22 proposal to move a for-profit business." It doesn't make
- 23 any sense to me. I don't see that anywhere else. But
- 24 management talked to me and told me there was some
- 25 problems. The letter that the Mayor sent clearly

- 1 delineates what they plan to use that money for.
- 2 The one thing that they didn't talk about
- 3 was the Building Code issues. They can say they want to
- 4 use recycled material for the structures, but if the
- 5 building department hasn't allowed that in their Building
- 6 Codes, they're procluded from doing it. That means they
- 7 have to do virgin material. So I think that has to be a
- 8 condition.
- 9 They also explained they were going to use
- 10 a lot of other materials. They had a prime and then they
- 11 had substitute materials, and if that's what they use the
- 12 money for -- or we should direct that our money be used
- 13 for that and let them use Alameda County's money to move
- 14 these guys. That would be getting our message across.
- 15 And I don't have a problem with that and that would help
- 16 that part of it.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: In other words, you
- 18 want the showcase money to be used for showcasing.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Yeah, as opposed to
- 20 moving. And then on mine that I put through, that I have
- 21 to find, I had originally asked for a million seven to
- 22 help jurisdictions, assist them in meeting the 50 percent
- 23 goal. What the intent of that was originally to look at
- 24 their numbers and their programs, and the more I thought
- 25 about it, it was unfair to the 400 jurisdictions that had

- 1 met the mandate to fund the 150 that have either snubbed
- 2 their nose at it or played games with it.
- 3 So I think that it makes more sense to cut
- 4 that number down to about \$200,000,000 and offer it in
- 5 grants incrementally. And we can discuss \$10,000,
- 6 probably a \$10,000 to \$15,000 grant where the city matches
- 7 it and they can do program evaluations. That would help
- 8 minimize the available contract dollars to these
- 9 consultants so they don't rip off the cities in doing
- 10 these high-priced programs. They could go in, cut to the
- 11 quick, see where their problems are.
- We had a city that was in front of us
- 13 yesterday that we put on compliance order that has 65
- 14 percent commercial and they don't have one program
- 15 attacking the commercial wastestream. They have curbside
- 16 recycling. They have buy backs. You know, so --
- 17 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: It's amazing they
- 18 can get away with that with their voters.
- 19 (Laughter)
- 20 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I think it's just they
- 21 don't know. They don't have somebody there, pointing
- 22 stuff out to them. So if we were to cut this down to --
- 23 \$200,000 is fine with me. That offers those cities a
- 24 little bit of money that they can match, and it also
- 25 probably controls what the consultants can rip them off

- 1 for, and hopefully they get valuable information. And I
- 2 think it sends a positive message from this Board because
- 3 we're in the middle of compliance orders and looking at
- 4 those things, and here we're offering money to help
- 5 jurisdictions figure out the problem. I think that makes
- 6 sense.
- 7 I'll get through mine real quick. My last
- 8 two, landfill operator training, which we had supported
- 9 for \$50,000 will help pay to get that program started, and
- 10 I would hope that we would find some more money.
- 11 The bioreactor project, which there's a
- 12 thought here at \$400,000. \$400,000 works. If we had
- 13 \$500,000, there's two pools of money, one from USEPA for
- 14 \$250,000 that we would have to match, which this could be
- 15 a match, and then State of California Trade and Commerce
- 16 for Emerging Technologies up to \$250,000, which would make
- 17 this a million-dollar program if we took advantage and
- 18 had -- it could be an \$800,000 program, too, and still be
- 19 successful. My -- I envision this to help in the cost of
- 20 construction. Yolo County is one of the sites, Waste
- 21 Management has identified four that might work, but it
- 22 will help us.
- 23 What I really want to use the majority of
- 24 the money for is not just helping construction, but to buy
- 25 the equipment and the probes to get the data so that we

- 1 find out if by using bioreactors we can eliminate gas in a
- 2 quick enough time period so that we can move from a
- 3 prescriptive standard, one-size-fits-all in the state of
- 4 California, to a more tailored look at how we manage
- 5 landfills in the state of California ongoing for the next
- 6 generations. This data is critical to that. And if it
- 7 doesn't work and we've spent half a million dollars to
- 8 find that out, that's cool. If it does work, it's going
- 9 to be monumental to what we can do, and it's different
- 10 from what USEPA is. So those are mine.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EATON: Ms. Moulton-Patterson.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Thank
- 13 you, Mr. Chair.
- I had a few questions here. I certainly
- 15 would be supportive of the low and moderate housing
- 16 sustainable building site. I think that's a really great
- 17 idea, and I also would like to speak in favor of the
- 18 Chair's plan to have a comprehensive media program. I
- 19 think we need to put enough money into that to make sure
- 20 our message does get out.
- Next year, I'd like to see even more money
- 22 going toward projects with public education. I do
- 23 understand that a lot of these will be affecting children,
- 24 but I certainly would like to see even more public
- 25 education money next year.

```
1 And then I did have a question of staff.
```

- 2 On the California Heartland television series, I notice
- 3 there's no money for that now and could you just -- I
- 4 understand it's quite a history, this whole thing, and
- 5 maybe you could fill me in a little bit about it.
- 6 MS. TRGOVCICH: Caren Trgovcich, Deputy
- 7 Director of the Waste Management and Market Development
- 8 Division. I'll provide a very brief amount of
- 9 information, but Bob Vice representing the California
- 10 Heartland program is here and I would defer to Bob with
- 11 respect to the broader information.
- 12 The Board was approached last year to
- 13 provide sponsorship funds to support specifically the
- 14 California Heartland program. We saw in that program a
- 15 potential opportunity to affect our audience, which is
- 16 dual, which is both the agricultural audience. We see
- 17 them, the agricultural end users, as the key to organics
- 18 material management because where is it all going to go
- 19 when it's finally composted or mulched? It's not going to
- 20 be all in our back yards, although we hope to use a good
- 21 amount of it, but it's going to move back into
- 22 agriculture. And that program also hits the urban
- 23 markets, and I believe it hits every single market within
- 24 the state of California right now.
- 25 But we provided those funds last year.

- 1 There were four segments that were taped in this last
- 2 year. We've been using those taped segments as we tour
- 3 through the farm shows in the state. We've also been
- 4 using those in terms of being able to pull together
- 5 information for targeted audiences. It's my understanding
- 6 that that programming is also being used to further
- 7 additional educational purposes, which Bob can describe
- 8 for you.
- 9 We also have a link on their web site where
- 10 there is a specific identification for the Waste
- 11 Management Board on the PBS, the Heartland web site, and
- 12 we get a great number of hits associated with that program
- 13 back into our system, and through our system they can then
- 14 access all of the Board's information.
- I don't know if you would like to hear from
- 16 Bob now or if you want to wait.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EATON: We might as well since
- 18 we're going over the subject.
- 19 Mr. Vice.
- 20 MR. VICE: Thank you. My name is Bob Vice
- 21 and I'm the program coordinator for California Heartland.
- 22 Thank you for an opportunity. I don't know to wear out my
- 23 welcome. I was able to give a short presentation last
- 24 week to your Board, but Ms. Moulton-Patterson was not
- 25 there, and I'm glad to have an opportunity to address one

- 1 more time.
- 2 One of the things that we in PBS -- public
- 3 broadcast certainly is high on is education. One of the
- 4 things that we are doing that I didn't get a chance to
- 5 mention to the Board last week, in addition to the 600,000
- 6 or 2.5 million per month viewers that see these programs
- 7 and the web site, which is a connection -- again, our hot
- 8 link is now up to about -- I gave you the figure last week
- 9 of 55,000. I checked this week. We're up to about 63,000
- 10 hits per week on our hot line. Of that, about 5,000 to
- 11 5,500 access your link from our hot link of the program
- 12 if they want to know more about your message, which is a
- 13 seven-second commercial, but in addition, if they want to
- 14 know more about composting programs, they can do that
- 15 through the hot link. Apparently people are doing that
- 16 because those are the latest numbers.
- The other thing that I didn't get a chance,
- 18 in addition to the media side of this -- because as was
- 19 indicated, this year we kind of concentrated on four areas
- 20 of composting where we did programs and got a lot of
- 21 people that were interested in knowing more about those
- 22 composting programs. But one of the things I think is
- 23 really a benefit that I didn't get a chance to mention
- 24 last week is what happens with these programs after
- 25 they're aired.

```
1 From the beginning, we made available the
```

- 2 tapes to the Ag in the Classroom Foundation, a foundation
- 3 that's trying desperately to make sure there's one
- 4 ambassador in every elementary school in California that
- 5 can disseminate information to try to get teachers and
- 6 students to understand a little bit about where their food
- 7 comes from, how things work on the farm. We adopt
- 8 teachers, take them to the farms. They go back in turn
- 9 and are able to work with the classroom.
- 10 These tapes go to Ag in the Classroom who
- 11 disseminates those to about 2,600 schools throughout
- 12 California on a weekly basis, and those programs then
- 13 become part of their curriculum for teaching. So this
- 14 year the children are going to have an opportunity to see
- 15 four different programs about composting that they may not
- 16 have had an opportunity, teachers or students, to have
- 17 seen before.
- 18 As I indicated to you last week, we're
- 19 willing to work on this coming year if there's other areas
- 20 that tie into programming that's beneficial to
- 21 agriculture, whether it's the farm and ranch clean up or
- 22 used oil. Whatever those programs are, we believe we have
- 23 a network and a responsibility for the education from
- 24 PBS's standpoint to be able to get those programs not only
- 25 to a lot of people to see, but also to get those into the

- 1 educational system where teachers and students get the
- 2 benefit of those on a weekly basis, use those in the
- 3 curriculum. So with that, if there's any questions, I'd
- 4 be glad to try to answer them.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Thank you
- 6 very much. I would just like to say that I hope we can
- 7 find some funds for that through slit funds or incoming
- 8 money or however it's done. It sounds like a good
- 9 program. Thank you, and that's all the comments I have.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EATON: Senator Roberti.
- BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Basically I like the
- 12 list as has been compiled. I have a couple points because
- 13 this is my chance to make them.
- Number one, on a future IWMA list I would
- 15 like to see some -- I think I mentioned this before --
- 16 some attention to educating the public and manufacturers
- 17 on the durability of products. I think that's very
- 18 important. And I'm not pressing for it now, but I do hope
- 19 that goes on our agenda.
- Number two, which I'm not pressing for now
- 21 but I hope goes on our agenda, is to try to increase the
- 22 inculcation of conservation ethic in new Californians.
- 23 It's a very sensitive thing to deal with because you don't
- 24 want to give people the impression that you believe
- 25 somehow they are less concerned about cleanliness than the

- 1 rest of the world, and that's not the case. But what you
- 2 aren't dealing with is -- conservation is an acquired
- 3 taste. People just aren't naturally born or imbued with
- 4 an idea, "Hey, we've got to conserve." And in California
- 5 you deal with such a multiplicity of languages and people
- 6 who come often from poor countries where you just haven't
- 7 had the chance for the education of this acquired taste.
- 8 So there has to be a sensitive way of
- 9 dealing with this to the benefit not only of the people,
- 10 especially the people themselves. So it takes time, it
- 11 takes effort and a certain amount of understanding, but I
- 12 hope we put this on our agenda. Maybe the increased use
- 13 of the schools, because immigrant parents often in many
- 14 ways learn from their kids. Not in all cases, but often
- 15 that's the way it's done.
- So I really hope we begin to develop this
- 17 program and have some time to work on doing it in a way
- 18 that is sensitive but efficacious. That's number two.
- Number three, probably a more appropriate
- 20 fund for these items -- and these are just basically pet
- 21 peeves of mine, so you get to listen to those -- would be
- 22 a household hazardous waste. So I'll probably wait on
- 23 that.
- But in the event that we don't deal with
- 25 that, I would like very much, if our household program

- 1 doesn't deal with it, then to deal with the two issues --
- 2 the proliferation of paint cans and antifreeze in private
- 3 homes. Paint cans because the enormous problem, and if
- 4 somehow we reduce the total number of paint cans in houses
- 5 after my term on the Board is up, I think that would be
- 6 great, almost as big an accomplishment as reducing the
- 7 number of tires. Everybody's got it and --
- 8 CHAIRMAN EATON: Don't know how to get rid
- 9 of it.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Don't know how to
- 11 get rid of it. Most people know they ought to get rid of
- 12 it. What do you do?
- 13 And another one is antifreeze, which would
- 14 combine two issues that are important to me -- one,
- 15 conservation, and two, animal protection -- and I think
- 16 it's a great way of getting a bunch of pet owners to pay
- 17 attention to a narrow area but an important area of
- 18 conservation, and I think when we identify specific issues
- 19 that can be very helpful to people in a very specific,
- 20 definable way, we achieve something.
- 21 So I'm just throwing those out for future
- 22 reference, which you're going to hear more from me on
- 23 that, but this list is pretty good and I think we ought to
- 24 go with it.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EATON: I'll put in my couple of

- 1 cents. With regard to a couple of points that were raised
- 2 by you, Senator, with regard to durability, one of the
- 3 things we can do, because we talked about, whatever media
- 4 campaign comes up, I think having an agenda item or
- 5 however you want to proceed over the next six months and
- 6 how you want to bring that forward in a much more
- 7 comprehensive manner should not deter us from an immediate
- 8 campaign in terms of it's not only recycling, but buying
- 9 recycling products. We have that market aspect and that's
- 10 what this RMDZ money was supposed to do. If you think
- 11 that there's a way that we can sneak in the durability
- 12 that if you buy this product, however we talk about the
- 13 durability aspect of it, that is the way to initially get
- 14 in; and not to say we wouldn't do the other, but to get a
- 15 jump start on it. I think that's point one.
- And then with regard to -- and you should
- 17 know history-wise. I was the lone vote against Heartland,
- 18 and not because it had anything wrong with the program. I
- 19 still go back and forth with it, but I think today if we
- 20 put the money in a bundle and think how we want to divide
- 21 it up, I still believe going into Kings County where we
- $22\,\,$ have a compliance order, using some of that money, and
- 23 going into the areas of the minority communities down
- 24 there, the second language communities, with radio and
- 25 television ads and target, actually it's much more bang

- 1 for our buck. That's a value judgment on my part. That's
- 2 the position. It's nothing against anything. I think we
- 3 can do that if we look at a more comprehensive media
- 4 program, but that's what I'm looking for.
- 5 The other thing I would like to be able to
- 6 do is as we talk about new Californians or individuals who
- 7 may not be plugged into the mainstream, you're right. It
- 8 is so delicate because on the one hand, they are every bit
- 9 as sophisticated as we are. They may not have had the
- 10 exposure, and I think that's what you're trying to get at.
- 11 Is there a way that the staff can be directed,
- 12 Mr. Chandler, to come back? We had numerous programs. I
- 13 think there was a used oil program in Napa Valley for
- 14 changing oil that was directed to the smaller communities
- 15 over there with some of the farm workers and some of those
- 16 programs. If we could as a Board for an informational
- 17 item maybe see what we have done in the past and therefore
- 18 we'll know how sufficient, or how much further we have to
- 19 go at some point. Would that be okay with you, Senator,
- 20 just as more of an educational -- not to defer.
- BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Sure.
- 22 CHAIRMAN EATON: With that, I don't have
- 23 any more to say other than one other staff direction ${\tt I}$
- 24 would like to ask with this allocation, that
- 25 traditionally -- and Dan, correct me if I'm mistaken -- we

- 1 come back in April or May. A lot of these items that we
- 2 vote on today, the contract concepts, for whatever reason
- 3 the contract can't be secured, the matching funds fall
- 4 through, et cetera, we normally come back and do a
- 5 reallocation in May or April.
- 6 MS. FISH: April.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EATON: Is there a way we can look
- 8 to get a better idea in March, because that may put more
- 9 money in public education, more money in media campaign,
- 10 more money in the housing, bioreactor. That would be
- 11 areas to look at. If we're not going to be able to get
- 12 the money secured, that would be for us as a Board to be
- 13 able to go back through. Now, there may be logistical
- 14 things or whatever, so that would be the only other --
- 15 that would be my addition to it.
- If we can't spend it, let's know early on
- 17 and not try and beat our brains because there's other
- 18 things that need extra money that are already in here.
- 19 Ms. Fish, I know you're anxious to speak.
- 20 MS. FISH: Well, I would like to talk about
- 21 that as well as add a commercial for my staff. We are
- 22 very late in the year in doing the contract concepts, and
- 23 it is our hope that not only could we come back with maybe
- 24 a reallocation item for those things that came in for
- 25 maybe -- or for some reason couldn't be funded, but also

```
1 come forward with next year's allocation.
```

- 2 We'll have similar amounts in both the IWMA
- 3 and the RMDZ, and so in order to maximize our efforts and
- 4 give staff a full year to encumber the money, we would
- 5 like to come back as early as March with the reallocation
- 6 item, as well as ask the Board to allocate the next year's
- 7 money.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EATON: I would caution you that
- 9 the directive that was given to us by both the legislative
- 10 budget committees that the first two were free, the third
- 11 one is not. The allocation that's coming up is going to
- 12 have much more legislative hand print, and you were with
- 13 me. That time they gave us the money, the first time.
- 14 This is the money the second time. There's money for a
- 15 third time, but they did indicate to us that they were
- 16 going to -- so I don't have a problem in saying this is
- 17 proposed how we do it, but that's going to be about the
- 18 time we do budget, so that may also -- if you remember,
- 19 they were the ones there.
- I think that's fine to do that, but the one
- 21 would be, I think, how do -- we would want to probably say
- 22 it is much like we do with the tire allocation. It's a
- 23 proposed allocation for the third department.
- 24 If there's no -- I have no further slips at
- 25 least on this. Without any other comment, perhaps a

- 1 motion approving.
- 2 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Mr. Chairman.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Pennington.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I've got a couple
- 5 of questions of staff.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EATON: Sure.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: On the 2000
- 8 grasscycling outreach campaign, Mr. Jones indicated that
- 9 maybe we could do that on \$200,000. Can we move \$100,000
- 10 of that to the bioreactor?
- MS. TRGOVCICH: The \$300,000 that is
- 12 proposed would fund three campaigns. What we saw last
- 13 year was that we averaged approximately \$100,000 per
- 14 campaign. You can certainly move \$100,000. That then
- 15 reduces the number of campaigns to two.
- I will tell you that we were -- in terms of
- 17 the northern California campaign issue, we were in
- 18 conversations with Santa Clara as well as the Bay Area,
- 19 which Santa Clara is a part, but the two were separate
- 20 conversations. We're in conversations with Sacramento as
- 21 well. We've also received inquiries from several southern
- 22 California cities, so there is interest in that money. By
- 23 moving \$100,000, that would reduce the number of campaigns
- 24 to two.
- 25 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Could it go to

- 1 landfill bioreactor project grant program?
- MS. TRGOVCICH: I think the issue there,
- 3 too, is you're looking at monies from the RMDZ account,
- 4 and it's my understanding the budgetary control language
- 5 may not support funding for that activity.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Okay.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I have a question on
- 8 that. You identified that \$300,000 does three programs.
- 9 MS. TRGOVCICH: Approximately, if we look
- 10 at last year's average.
- 11 BOARD MEMBER JONES: But we did the first
- 12 program in L.A. with only about a \$150,000 oil money for
- 13 L.A. and Orange County.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: But that program, as you
- 15 said yourself, was not terribly successful, and what we've
- 16 done is built on the models from Inland Empire and the
- 17 others to try to get a program with the contributions from
- 18 the local level. And this is about the average that we
- 19 found. We may be able to modify that.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER JONES: All I'm trying to say
- 21 is that when you look at the money and say we can only do
- 22 two, it tells me you've preconcluded that it's going to be
- 23 \$100,000 a project. Sacramento does theirs with SMUD and
- 24 other agencies, and, you know, if you identify that
- 25 \$100,000 is going into each one of these programs, it kind

- 1 of precludes us from getting other agencies involved to
- 2 help pay for it.
- 3 MS. TRGOVCICH: We've certainly sought the
- 4 other agency support. All we're going by is a last year
- 5 average. It may well be that a Sacramento campaign will
- 6 cost \$35,000 or \$45,000. We don't know. We're just
- 7 gauging it by last year's track record.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EATON: Your other point,
- 9 Mr. Pennington?
- 10 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: We could then, as
- 11 long as we kept it in the same fund, if we took \$100,000
- 12 out of that, we could put it into the housing; correct?
- 13 Okay.
- 14 And the other question is could we fund the
- 15 California Heartland out of the statewide PR campaign and
- 16 sponsorship contract?
- 17 CHAIRMAN EATON: You could do that if you
- 18 wanted to, absolutely. What I have asked, and hopefully
- 19 you will agree, is that we look at a coordinated media
- 20 strategy of which that would be a component that would be
- 21 added therein and just leave it that way.
- BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: That's fine.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EATON: So without anything, I
- 24 would move that we adopt the modified allocation schedule
- 25 with the appropriate direction as explained by

```
1 Mr. Pennington in his comments, Mr. Jones in his comments,
```

- 2 Ms. Moulton-Patterson in her comments, Senator Roberti
- 3 obviously in terms of his comments. And with that, I'll
- 4 look for a second.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'll second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EATON: All right. Mr. Eaton
- 7 moves and Mr. Pennington seconds that we adopt the
- 8 modified allocation schedule.
- 9 Madam Secretary, please call the roll.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Can I ask a question?
- 11 CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Jones.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Was this modified
- 13 schedule to do \$200,000 for grasscycling, put another
- 14 \$100,000 in sustainable building for low and moderate, or
- 15 is it to leave it at \$400,000 and \$300,000?
- 16 CHAIRMAN EATON: Leave it the way it
- 17 currently exists right now. That would be a substitute
- 18 motion.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Well, perhaps
- 20 I'll make a substitute motion, just to get a feeling from
- 21 the Board, that we move \$100,000 from the grasscycling
- 22 program to the low and moderate income housing.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EATON: Before we do a motion,
- 24 why don't we just see if any of the colleagues --
- BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Sure.

1

```
2 need to do two motions and just do the one motion with
 3 that.
 4
                 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Okay.
                  BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: That leaves
 5
 6 grasscycling with how much?
 7
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: $200,000 for
   grasscycling and $500,000 for the low and moderate income
9 project.
10
                  BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Just a
11 clarification. I'm going to be voting for the motion, but
12 at some point -- I'm still on this Heartland thing. Could
13 we look at some point -- could staff come back with maybe
14 could it fit into Project Recycle or something like that?
15 If I vote yes, does that mean it's out?
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: No, not at all.
16
                  BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Could it
17
18 be a part of the comprehensive media plan?
19
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: And I perhaps was remiss.
20 What I should have said as it relates to the modified
21 motion is that -- and I would be happy to delay the
```

22 vote -- that with regards to public affairs, we're just

BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN EATON: And that staff should be

23 allocating a lump sum of money.

24

25

CHAIRMAN EATON: That way we eliminate the

- 1 directed to bring back not only proposals as it may be,
- 2 but a comprehensive media strategy of which hopefully all
- 3 the Board Members will be in. That way, there's no harm,
- 4 no foul.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay.
- 6 That's fine.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EATON: And I'm sorry,
- 8 Mr. Pennington. Is there anyone who has concerns about
- 9 Mr. Pennington's move which would simply be -- the
- 10 adjustment there would be taking \$100,000 from the
- 11 \$200,000 grasscycling and putting it into low and
- 12 moderate. Senator Roberti?
- BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Fine with me.
- 14 CHAIRMAN EATON: Let me recap
- 15 Ms. Moulton-Patterson's comments as well.
- BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Fine. Thank you,
- 17 Mr. Chairman, for indulging me.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EATON: It saves us a motion
- 19 actually.
- I would move that we adopt the modified
- 21 summary of contract concepts for the fiscal year 1999 and
- 22 year 2000, and that the only adjustment would be made that
- 23 in Concept Number 25, the 2000 grasscycling outreach
- 24 campaign, that the sum of \$300,000 be reduced to \$200,000;
- 25 and that the \$100,000 that would remain there that was

- 1 taken away from Contract Concept Number 25, that \$100,000
- 2 be added to Concept Number 53, sustainable building grants
- 3 for low and moderate income housing, that it be raised to
- 4 \$500,000.
- 5 In addition, as it relates to the public
- 6 affairs, there was no prejudice with regard to either
- 7 Heartland or any other program, and that the money that
- $\,$ 8 $\,$ would be allocated within that category be done in a lump
- 9 sum and that staff should bring it back as soon as
- 10 possible and consult with each and every Board Member in
- 11 whatever way to get their input for a comprehensive media
- 12 strategy.
- 13 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I second that.
- 14 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Mr. Chairman, just a
- 15 question on the motion. The issues I brought up about the
- 16 Berkeley thing with looking at the Building Codes and
- 17 those things, were those acceptable to the Members that
- 18 they use them for sustainability?
- 19 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Absolutely.
- BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Yes. That could be
- 21 incorporated in the motion.
- 22 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Right.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EATON: And that the direction of
- 24 Members' comments be incorporated therein into the motion.
- 25 Mr. Eaton moves and Mr. Pennington seconds

```
1 we adopt contract concepts for the fiscal year 1999-2000.
 2
                  Madam Secretary, would you please call the
 3 roll.
 4
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Board Members Jones.
 5
                  BOARD MEMBER JONES: Aye.
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Moulton-Patterson.
 7
                  BOARD MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Aye.
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Pennington.
 8
 9
                  BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Aye.
                  BOARD SECRETARY: Roberti.
10
                  BOARD MEMBER ROBERTI: Aye.
11
12
                 BOARD SECRETARY: Chairman Eaton.
13
                  CHAIRMAN EATON: Aye.
14
                  Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, that
15 concludes our business as for regular scheduled agenda
16 items.
                  Ms. Court Reporter, do we need a break? We
17
18
   have one public comment, Mr. Atkinson. Mr. Atkinson,
   approximately -- and I'm not trying to limit you on your
20 public comment, I'm just trying to get a sense of whether
21 or not the reporter, who has been working for some hour
22 and a half now needs to have a break, or if your comments
23 will be confined. And I'm not trying to confine your
24 comments.
```

BOARD MEMBER JONES: Five minutes.

25

- 1 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Five
- 2 minutes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EATON: Please come forward. In
- 4 our public comment, we have one speaker slip, Carl
- 5 Atkinson.
- 6 Members, while Mr. Atkinson is coming up,
- 7 just thank you for your cooperation and staff's
- 8 cooperation. It's always difficult when you allocate
- 9 money, but I think we have acted not only in a responsible
- 10 manner, but far beyond what I ever thought could be
- 11 pushing the envelope with some of the ideas. I don't mind
- 12 reallocating monies and stuff when we have the challenges
- 13 that we do, and I'm just excited. I see there's a lot of
- 14 different stuff here and just keep it moving.
- Mr. Atkinson.
- MR. ATKINSON: Good morning.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EATON: Good morning.
- 18 MR. ATKINSON: It's a lovely place. I like
- 19 San Luis Obispo, actually. I have to start by saying
- 20 thank you, Mr. Jones, for visiting my site during this
- 21 tumultuous start.
- 22 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Start by identifying
- 23 yourself.
- 24 MR. ATKINSON: Start by identifying
- 25 myself.

```
BOARD MEMBER JONES: Then you can thank me.
 1
                  MR. ATKINSON: The problem is this is going
 2
 3
   to get carried away. I have a tendency to be heard
 4
    throughout the state.
 5
                  My name is Carl Atkinson and I am Wheels Et
    Cetera, newly approved for a permit tire dealer. I
 7
    started by saying I wanted to thank you for visiting my
    site, although I don't know what effect you had. I can
    tell you that the staff was substantially more cooperative
    in the last few days of this problem that I've been
    dealing with.
11
12
                  After the emergency regulations were
   placed -- were in place in June, I think of last year, I
14
    submitted reasonably complete applications for each of two
    distinctly separate businesses. I had established those
   two businesses over several years, have fictitious name
16
17
    reports filed, have all types of evidence of using
    different systems in invoicing and so forth for the
18
   difference between wholesale and retail in the business
20 location that I have in Fontana. I also -- I'm sorry, two
21
    separate businesses that I operated for the past 25 years,
22
    15 years of that at the above location.
23
                   I also submitted a reasonable plan for
   reducing the tires stored on the property adjacent to the
```

25 above address. This standard is allowed in your policy

- 1 and is posted on your web site under "enforcement." A
- 2 copy of that is available if anybody would like to see it.
- 3 I brought it with me, printed it last night.
- 4 When those applications were rejected on
- 5 the infamous December 7th, 1998, I immediately notified my
- 6 regular customers I was no longer able to buy their used
- 7 tires. I stopped completely the practice of buying tires
- 8 from such customers as Penske, California National Guard,
- 9 US Navy and the Marine Corps.
- 10 On the 29th of December, I was served with
- 11 an abatement order to move all the tires I had accumulated
- 12 over the 25 years by the 31st of December. That's two
- 13 days, 48 hours. I was able to convince the staff that two
- 14 days' notice was not reasonable, and an extension of 30
- 15 days was granted along with assurance that the staff would
- 16 continue to monitor and cooperate with the plan to reduce
- 17 the number of tires on the three separately identified
- 18 locations.
- I submitted hard proof in the form of
- 20 manifests that over 100,000 pounds of tires of various
- 21 size had been removed in each month in January and
- 22 February, and that a sufficient reduction could be made by
- 23 September. This is noticed in an administrative complaint
- 24 that was issued in March.
- 25 UNIDENTIFIED STAFF MEMBER: It's probably

```
1 an automatic timer because the City has a three-minute
```

- 2 timer.
- 3 MR. ATKINSON: No, it's somebody looking to
- 4 get ahold of me. They've been trying to reach me all
- 5 morning.
- 6 (Laughter)
- 7 CHAIRMAN EATON: Everyone wants to talk to
- 8 you today.
- 9 MR. ATKINSON: No, actually, they don't.
- 10 (Laughter)
- 11 MR. ATKINSON: An administrative complaint
- 12 was issued in March without any evidence of negotiation or
- 13 consideration of the statements and action made by me,
- 14 statements that I would see to it the tires were gone by
- 15 September or reduced sufficiently to apply for a permit,
- 16 or evidence that I had proved that I was doing exactly
- 17 what I said I would do.
- 18 At the end of June, the Board recognized --
- 19 that's June of 1999, this year, the Board recognized that
- 20 used tire dealers were being burdened with regulations
- 21 that were counterproductive to your goals as well as to
- $22\,$ their own, and made a resolution to postpone enforcement
- 23 in this area at least until the suggested proposed permit
- 24 regulations were decided.
- 25 Unfortunately, no one would make a decision

- 1 as to how this resolution affected my situation at the
- 2 time. I had to make a decision that expired on the 7th of
- 3 July. I did not get a resolution. In fact, I'm not sure
- 4 that any of you actually had an answer to that until
- 5 sometime shortly after the 7th of July, but I understand
- 6 that that resolution suggested that enforcement be
- 7 suspended on those people that were similarly situated as
- 8 I after the first of July, and that would have resolved
- 9 this particular problem.
- 10 It didn't change my resolve to continue
- 11 removing the tires, but that would have changed the
- 12 stipulation that I signed under significant pressure. I
- 13 signed a stipulation under pressure and with professional
- 14 advice, which I didn't question, until I found that others
- 15 in my situation did not face this process or their process
- 16 was postponed. I had planned to bring names of those
- 17 others here with me and found that that may not serve my
- 18 purpose to the extent that I hope this does.
- 19 Since that time, I have put my money where
- 20 my mouth is and have given away or paid to dispose of more
- 21 than \$100,000 worth of used tire inventory and had a
- 22 completed application accepted by the staff, along with
- 23 reasonable assurance that a permit is to be issued
- 24 possibly as early as November of '99. It is my belief
- 25 that this entire process is counterproductive and

- 1 disruptive, both in my operation and to the Board's
- 2 purpose.
- I believe that I proved that I could do
- 4 what I had promised to do before the stipulation, and that
- 5 the Board can and should set aside the entire agreement
- 6 starting with the complaint. At the very least, even the
- 7 playing field by setting aside the insult of the \$1,000
- 8 fine which remains to be paid by me for something that I
- 9 believe should have never happened.
- Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EATON: Thank you.
- 12 Mr. Jones.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: Mr. Eaton, just for a
- 14 little history. Mr. Atkinson has been involved in the
- 15 tire regs since day one. When they first were going to
- 16 exclude used tire dealers and there was a deal made in the
- 17 legislature, they were given an exclusion, then we later
- 18 gave away the exclusion.
- 19 He's a used tire dealer. I went and looked
- 20 at his site. I've got to tell you, quite frankly, it
- 21 reminded me of us trying to go into a grocery store and
- 22 telling them they can only have 40 bags of Lay's potato
- 23 chips on the counter and that doesn't make sense. He's
- 24 gotten a lot of tires out of there. That makes sense.
- 25 Tires were marked of what he paid for them,

- 1 and as he was loading the trailer, they had a little razor
- 2 blade so they would slice the side walls so that whoever
- 3 was hauling his tires couldn't take them and sell them as
- 4 used tires. And he did three trailers of those. We, all
- of us, except Linda Ms. Moulton-Patterson, asked our staff
- 6 not to enforce those regulations until we worked through
- 7 this thing.
- 8 He got a stipulated order about a month
- 9 before that to pay a \$1,000. He's just moved out 100,000
- 10 pounds of tires which is 5,000 tires a month that he had
- 11 already paid for, and it doesn't make sense that we're
- 12 going to hold him to a \$1,000 fine after he's probably
- 13 lost an incredible amount of money and has changed the way
- 14 he operates to comply with our laws and regulations.
- 15 He had asked me to talk to the Board. I
- 16 suggested to him he needed to talk to the Board himself,
- 17 and so he came up from San Bernardino to make this pitch.
- MR. ATKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Jones.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EATON: Thank you, Mr. Jones. And
- 20 if there's no further comment, I would just like to say
- 21 thank you to all of my colleagues for the work,
- 22 Ms. Moulton-Patterson for the effort she made to get here
- 23 under less than desirable circumstances. Also, all the
- 24 staffs of all the Board Members who worked hard, and our
- 25 own staff who brought the proposal for the contract

- 1 concepts to get here. It was a long time.
- 2 Also, Violet Covington, who is new with us
- 3 and it's her first out-of-town Board meeting, she did a
- 4 wonderful job. Thank you. Lisa, thank you. And Terri,
- 5 thank you for indulging us this morning, and now you can
- 6 have a break for the rest of the day.
- 7 With that, I will adjourn.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Mr. Chairman.
- 9 CHAIRMAN EATON: Mr. Pennington.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Before we
- 11 adjourn, though, is there going to be any resolution to
- 12 this problem?
- 13 CHAIRMAN EATON: I think the problem -- I
- 14 don't know. I would defer to legal counsel. If it's in a
- 15 hearing, I'm not sure. As a Board, we may be able to
- 16 comment, we may be able to listen, but in terms of taking
- 17 action, I think it's a formal process. I may be mistaken
- 18 so I will defer to legal counsel.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: I would just like
- 20 to know.
- 21 MS. TOBIAS: Because Mr. Atkinson has come
- 22 on the public part of the agenda, the Board can instruct
- 23 us to bring forward another item, if you would like. You
- 24 can't really discuss it today because it's not agendized.
- 25 So we can either bring an item forward. I'm prepared to

- 1 address you in closed session on the pending litigation
- 2 aspect of this, which I think we have different facts and
- 3 a different conclusion.
- 4 Those would be two of the choices.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EATON: Thank you.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Is the penalty by us,
- 9 \$1,000 fine issued by the Waste Board?
- 10 MS. TOBIAS: It was a stipulated
- 11 settlement.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: Between the Waste
- 13 Board and Mr. Atkinson.
- MS. TOBIAS: Correct.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: So if we wanted to
- 16 have an item, an agenda item, we could do that.
- MS. TOBIAS: You can agendize anything,
- 18 and any of the Board Members can put any item on the
- 19 agenda that you want.
- 20 MR. ATKINSON: Can I ask to have it
- 21 agendized?
- 22 MS. TOBIAS: No. The Board Members need to
- 23 be able to put it on.
- 24 BOARD MEMBER JONES: All right. I'll
- 25 move -- I want to see it on the agenda.

1

agenda or do you want to have it addressed in closed 2 session as pending litigation? If it's put on the agenda, then basically all parties are waiving their privileges on 5 discussing it because at that point you don't have the attorney-client privilege any longer. That would be your 7 choice. 8 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: It would seem to 9 me that it would be best to discuss it in a closed session first and then if we want to agendize it, we can. MS. TOBIAS: Or we could do both. We 11 could put it on the agenda and then if you want to meet in 13 closed session first. We could certainly do it that way, 14 but I would suggest you discuss it in closed session first so that you retain your privilege, and then if you decide 16 and if Mr. Atkinson agrees, then you could go ahead to a public agenda item. 17 18 BOARD MEMBER PENNINGTON: Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN EATON: All right. This meeting 20 21 stands adjourned. * * * 22 23 24 25

MS. TOBIAS: Do you want to see it on the

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	
3	
4	I, Terri L. Emery, CSR 11598, a Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
8	down by me in shorthand at the time and place named
9	therein and was thereafter transcribed under my
10	supervision; that this transcript contains a full, true
11	and correct record of the proceedings which took place at
12	the time and place set forth in the caption hereto.
13	
14	
15	I further certify that I have no interest
16	in the event of the action.
17	
18	
19	EXECUTED this 4th day of December, 1999.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Terri L. Emery
25	